# Substance Use, General Health, and Mental Health Outcomes in States with and without Medical Marijuana Laws

## **Department of Health Education and Behavior**

College of Health and Human Performance **UNIVERSITY** of FLORIDA

- Introduction Average sleep: number of hours of sleep in a 24-hour period **Demographic characteristics and covariates:** not being able to see a doctor because of cost However, how MMLs may impact the prevalence of marijuana and other substance use, and mental health conditions remains unclear<sup>2</sup> mental health between states with and without MML Logistic regression analyses for the binary outcomes Based on prior research, we hypothesized that individuals living in states with MMLs would report significantly more substance use and poorer general and mental health variables (e.g., the number of days using marijuana) Methods covariates. Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) data set<sup>3</sup> 14 states had passed MML (n = 143, 151) • 8 states had not (n = 67,744)

Background Since 1996, many states within the US have enacted medical marijuana laws (MML)<sup>1</sup> Purpose • The aim of this study was to examine differences in substance use, general health, and Hypothesis **Participants** • This was a secondary data analysis of a publicly available, de-identified Behavioral Risk • In 2020, of the 22 states that completed the marijuana use module

## Measures

## • Marijuana use

- Number of days of marijuana use in the past 30 days • Method of use (e.g., smoke, eat, drink, vaporize, dab, other ways) Reasons for using (e.g., medical, non-medical, or both)

### Alcohol use $\bigcirc$

- Number of days of drinking and binge drinking in the past 30 days
- Driving at least once after having too much to drink

### Tobacco use $\bigcirc$

- Smoking at least 100 cigarettes in life
- Current use of cigarettes

### e-cigarette use $\bigcirc$

- Lifetime use of e-cigarettes
- Current use of e-cigarettes or other electronic vaping products

### General health

5-point Likert-type scale (1: excellent - 5: poor)

### Mental health quality

Number of days their mental health (including stress, depression, and problems with emotions) was not good in the past 30 days

Cristiana N. de Paula Araujo, Ph.D., JeeWon Cheong, Ph.D., & Ali M. Yurasek, Ph.D. Department of Health Education and Behavior, College of Health and Human Performance, University of Florida.

Outcomes		B (SE)	OR (95% CI)
Days of marijuana use	Frequency of marijuana	0.083 (0.034)*	d
(past 30 days)ª	use		
	Probability to use	0.271 (0.033)***	1.311 (1.229, 1.399)
	marijuana		
Days of drinking (past	Probability to drink	-0.441 (0.030)***	0.643 (0.607, 0.682)
30 days)ª			
Days of binge	Probability to binge drink	-0.158 (0.055)**	0.854 (0.766, 0.951)
drinking (past 30			
days)ª			
Driving under influence of alcohol <sup>b</sup>		-0.354 (0.066)***	0.702 (0.617, 0.798)
Lifetime smoking (100+ cigarettes during lifetime) <sup>b</sup>		0.043 (0.015)**	1.044 (1.014, 1.074)
Current smoking status <sup>b</sup>		0.093 (0.020)***	1.098 (1.056, 1.142)
Lifetime e-cigarette use <sup>b</sup>		0.107 (0.022)***	1.113 (1.067, 1.161)
General health <sup>c</sup>		-0.043 (0.007)***	d
Days of mental health	Frequency of mental health	0.069 (0.013)***	d
not goodª	not good		
	Probability of mental	0.236 (0.017)***	1.266 (1.225, 1.309)
	health not good		
Having a depressive disorder <sup>b</sup>		0.314 (0.018)***	1.369 (1.322, 1.417)
Average sleep time <sup>c</sup>		-0.055 (0.010)***	d

<sup>a</sup>Results from the analyses for zero-inflated count outcomes using PROC GENMOD. <sup>b</sup>Results from logistic regression analyses. <sup>c</sup>Results from ordinary least squares (OLS) regression analyses. <sup>d</sup>OR (Odds Ratio) not applicable.

**Depressive disorder**, including depression, major depression, dysthymia, or minor depression

Participant-level variables: sex, age, marital status, educational level, employment status, veteran status,

number of children in household, annual household income, owning a home, having health care coverage, and

State-level variables: State's rankings on health care quality, quality of education, and economy

# **Data analysis**

Ordinary least squares (OLS) multiple regression analyses for continuous outcome variables

Generalized linear modeling with zero-inflated negative binomial distribution in SAS for count data outcome

# Results

Differences between the states with and without medical marijuana law adjusted for individual- and state-level



		Results	
0	Res	idents of states with MML were more likely:	
	•	to be marijuana users (p < .05)	
	•	have higher levels of marijuana use ( $p < .05$ )	
	•	to be cigarette smokers ( $p < .01$ )	
	•	have used e-cigarettes ( $p < .01$ )	
	•	to be non-drinkers and non-binge drinkers ( <i>ps</i> < .01)	
0	<ul> <li>Residents of states with MML were less likely:</li> </ul>		
	•	to drive under the influence ( $p < .01$ )	
<ul> <li>Those in MML states reported significantly:</li> </ul>			
	•	better general health ( $p < .001$ )	
		worse mental health, including more days feeling stressed, depressed ( <i>p</i> < .001)	
	•	having depressive disorder ( $p < .001$ )	
	•	sleeping fewer hours ( $p < .001$ )	
Conclusions			
0	Re	sidents of MML states may be at increased risk	
	•	to use marijuana, cigarettes, and e-cigarettes	
	•	experience more mental health symptoms	
<ul> <li>It's unclear if MML directly influenced the outcomes or if those who use these substances and experience more mental health symptoms are more likely to live in MML states</li> </ul>			
0		AL states should ensure adequate access to substance e and mental health treatment	
0	int	arijuana users may benefit from substance use erventions that also target physical and mental health ategies	
		References	
		Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL). (2022). State medical cannabis laws. ww.ncsl.org/research/health/state-medical-marijuana-laws.aspx	
<ol> <li>Hasin, D. S. (2018). US epidemiology of cannabis use and associated problems. Neuropsychopharmacology, 43, 195–212. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/npp.2017.198.</li> </ol>			
	survey da	or Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2020). <i>Behavioral risk factor surveillance system</i> <i>ata.</i> Atlanta, Georgia: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control ention, [2020]. https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/annual_data/annual_2020.html	
		<b>Acknowledgements</b> ike to acknowledge The Consortium for Medical Marijuana Clinical Outcomes Research nceptualization of this manuscript evolved from a Consortium grant awarded to Drs. Ali Yurasek and JeeWon Cheong.	
		Please address correspondence to	

CIISLIAIIA IN. F. ALAUJU UTIVEISILY UT FIUTUA