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- Recent work has shown cannabis to be effective in treating a variety of symptoms including depression and anxiety (1-4)

This work utilizes smartphone technology which allows for larger amounts of real-time data collection from cannabis users

Releaf App ${ }^{T M}$ technologies has been used worldwide by researchers, healthcare professionals, and cannabis product manufacturers to collect data on the performance of cannabis use

The present study uses Releaf App ${ }^{T M}$ to assess selfreported experiences of cannabis users in Florida, with a focus on how cannabis alters symptoms of anxiety and depression along with its relationship to dose, amount of use, consumption method, gender, and age
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## Procedure

Data was analyzed using linear mixed-effects modeling in R v.4.0.3
Data was analyzed at the session and user level - Fixed effects were the predictors of interest:

Changes in symptom severity across cannabis sessions (start vs. end), dose, symptom type (anxiety vs. depression), combustion method, age, and gender

| Table 1. Descripitive statisitis of variables collected from participants in the study. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean, SD } \\ \text { (or \%) } \end{gathered}$ | Min. Max Values |
| Age | 404 | 36.53, 11.39 | 13-74 |
| Gender |  | 40\% |  |
| Female | 241 | 60\% |  |
| Symptomology ${ }_{\text {Depression }}^{\text {dem }}$ | 7752 | 41\% |  |
| Anxiety | 5311 | 59\% |  |
| Relief | 13063 | 3.03, 3.28 | -9-10 |
| Symptom Start | 13063 | 5.46, 2.96 | 0-10 |
| Symptom End Doses | 13063 | $2.43,2.48$ 7777.12 | - |
| Combus |  |  |  |
| Smoke (Joint Pipe) | 188 | 45\% |  |

Results - Session level of Analysis Data collection was from 3/30/18 to 12/19//21: 418 users provided 13063 sessions in total

- Session amount: $79 \%$ of users had fewer than 10, $21 \%$ had more than 10, mode $=3(34 \%)$
After controlling for symptom start levels (1), symptom severity was significantly lower at end of session - Figure 1
Although doses, symptom type, and consumption method were significant predictors of relief, their effects were small and should be interpreted with caution
- No effects of age or gender (or interactions)


|  | relief |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Predictors | $B$ | $\beta$ | $95 \%$ CIB | $0.5 \%$ CI $\beta$ | $p$ |
| (Intercept-Relief) | -0.32 | -0.25 | $-0.55-0.09$ | $-0.31--0.19$ | 0.006 |
| Symptom Start | 0.43 | 0.38 | $0.41-0.44$ | $0.37-0.40$ | $<0.001$ |
| Doses | 0.03 | 0.05 | $0.02-0.03$ | $0.04-0.06$ | $<0.001$ |
| Symptom [Depression] | 0.05 | 0.02 | $0.01-0.09$ | $0.00-0.03$ | 0.011 |
| Consumption Method [Vape] | 0.19 | 0.06 | $0.07-0.31$ | $0.02-0.09$ | 0.002 |



Results - User Level of Analysis Most users reported positive relief ( $73 \%$ ) compared to negative and no relief groups (27\%) (groups merged for analysis)
Anxiety: Positive relief group had
significantly more sessions (Fig. 2) and doses (Fig.3) compared to negative / no relief group
Higher proportion of users vaped (Fig. 4) and lower proportion of users smoked joints in positive relief group
No differences in age or gender
Depression: Positive relief group had significantly more sessions than negative / no relief group (Fig. 5)
Doses showed a small effect but was not significant
No differences in age, gender, consumption method between groups


Conclusions: Symptom relief of depression /anxiety is comparable to previous work using similar technology (1-4)
Smartphone technology is useful to measure real-time changes in user experiences
Future work should focus on the causal
nature of cannabis use and symptom relief


