An Assessment of the Influence of Cannabidiol on the Pharmacokinetics of Methylphenidate

UNIVERSITY *of* **FLORIDA**

BACKGROUND

Medical cannabis [Cannabis sativa L. (marijuana)] or one or more of its components can be used medicinally in 36 US states. Cannabis is comprised of over 500 different chemical components approximately 120 of which are cannabinoids. The most abundant and well-studied cannabinoids are Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD). Previous *in vitro* studies suggest that THC and CBD can potently inhibit major CYP450 isoforms CYP2D6, CYP2C19, CYP2C9, CYP2B6, and CYP1A2.¹ Thus, the concomitant use of cannabis with conventional medications poses a potential risk of drug-drug interactions (DDIs). More recently *in vitro* studies have indicated that CBD and other major cannabinoids were potent inhibitors to the human carboxylesterase 1 (CES1)².

The present study was conducted to determine if in vitro findings with CES1 could be confirmed in a clinical study. Specifically, this study addressed the question of whether CBD could inhibit CES1 to a clinically relevant extent in healthy subjects (n=12). A randomized placebo controlled, cross-over study design was employed in which purified CBD (administered as Epidiolex[®]) was given concomitantly with the recognized CES1 substrate, the psychostimulant methylphenidate (MPH, Ritalin[®]).

MPH is almost exclusively metabolized by CES1 and therefore served as a representative "probe" of alterations in CES1 activity. Findings in this study may have implications for numerous other therapeutic agents which are also dependent on CES1 for either inactivation or activation (ie prodrugs).

Table 1: CES1 drug class and medication substrates.

ACE inhibitors					
Benazepril Perindopril					
Enalapril Quinapril					
Imidapril Ramipril					
Moexipril Trandolapril					
Antiplatelets/Anticoagulants					
Clopidogrel					
Dabigatran etexilate					
Endogenous compounds					
Cholesterol					
Fatty acid ethyl esters					

Anticancer Capecitabin Irinotecan Telotristat et **CNS Agent** Methylphen Heroin Meperidine Flumazenil Rufinamide Cocaine

OBJECTIVES

- To determine if the single-dose pharmacokinetics of methylphenidate (Ritalin[®]) are altered by concomitant use of Epidiolex[®] (CBD).
- To determine if the single-dose pharmacokinetics of MPH is altered to the inactive components in the vehicle solution.

Subjects

- Twelve (12) subjects (6 male, 6 female) ages 21-44 (mean 27 years) completed the entire study protocol.
- Both MPH and CBD were generally welltolerated without any serious adverse effects.
- One subject experienced nausea and vomiting from CBD and discontinued the study and was replaced by an alternate.
- No subject experienced elevations in any monitored liver function test.

_							
	XIC of +MI	RM (4 p.aint): 23	14.0.194.0 am	u from Sampl	e 46 (ZP80) -	of Diata10062	1mphPhilipand
	1.15+5						
	1.10+5						
	1.05+5						
	1.0045						
	9.50+4						
	9.00+4						
	8.50 +4						
	8.00+4						
	7.50+4						
	7.00+4						
	0.0000						
8							
충	0.004-4						
휻	5.50e4						
	5.00+4						
	4.50+4						
	4.00+4						
	3.50+4						
	3.00 +4						
	2.50 44						
	2.00 +4						
	1.50+4						
	1.00 +4						
L .	5000.00						

Figure 2. Chromatogram for MPH (blue) and internal standard d4-MPH (green).

Table 2. Pharmacokinetic analysis of each individual subject for both the CBD and control

Subject ID	Treatment AUC _{total} (ng/mL*hr)	Control AUC _{total} (ng/mL*hr)	AUC _{total} Ratio (CBD/Control)	Treatm ent C _{max} (ng/mL)	Control C _{max} (ng/mL)	C _{max} Ratio (CBD/Control)
ID01	90.02	62.80	1.43	23.20	14.40	1.61
ID02	83.38	71.23	1.17	14.70	13.30	1.11
ID03	99.90	98.57	1.01	13.60	16.70	0.81
ID04	103.32	68.98	1.50	14.70	10.80	1.36
ID07	94.01	78.76	1.19	26.50	13.20	2.01
ID08	48.34	44.67	1.08	10.00	6.60	1.52
ID09	38.57	42.57	0.91	8.39	8.96	0.94
ID10	63.94	50.37	1.27	10.80	9.06	1.19
ID11	56.07	67.95	0.83	8.97	22.80	0.39
ID12	61.74	60.08	1.03	13.50	12.90	1.05
ID13	69.36	70.08	0.99	10.70	8.47	1.26
ID14	39.86	46.63	0.85	6.92	9.15	0.76

Qingchen Zhang¹ MS, Philip W. Melchert PharmD¹, Ludmila V. Faria MD², Yuli Qian, PhD¹, Brandon O. Klee PharmD¹, and John S. Markowitz PharmD¹ ¹Department of Pharmacotherapy and Translational Research, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL ²Department of Psychiatry, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL



Agents	Antihyperlipidemics
е	Simvastatin
	Lovastatin
iprate	Clofibrate
S	Fenofibrate
date	Immunosuppressive
	Mycophenolate mofetil
	Ciclesonide
	Antiviral Agents
	Oseltamivir
	Sofosbuvir
	Tenofovir alafenamide

Cannabidiol (CBD) and MPH Drug Interaction Assessment



Figure 1. Design of the clinical study.

An IRB-approved study was conducted at the UF CTSI, This was an open-label and placebo-controlled randomized crossover design with a 30-day run-in of CBD 750 mg twice daily exposure conducted in healthy subjects (n-12).



Liquid-liquid extraction for MPH and CBD. LC-MS/MS for quantification.



0 45 50 55 50 55 70 75

groups which includes AUC_{total}, C_{max}, AUC_{total} ratio (CBD/control), and C_{max} ratio (CBD/control)



METHODS

Pharmacokinetics Analysis

- Bioequivalence analysis was following FDA Guidance.
- Bioequivalence criteria (0.8 1.25) were used to
- determine the difference of the AUC_{total}, and C_{max}
- Non-compartmental PK analysis.
- Non-linear regression.
- Analyzed with SAS 9[®].

RESULTS

Pharmacokinetics

Figure 3. Plasma concentration vs time curves of a single subject as well as mean of all subjects (n=12).

Left y-axis: MPH plasma concentration (ng/mL), right y-axis: CBD plasma concentration (ng/mL), x-axis: time after

administration (hr). Panel A: MPH and CBD plasma concentration of an individual subject (ID04) plotted on a logarithmic scale. Panel B: MPH and CBD plasma concentration of the same individual

subject plotted on a linear scale. Panel C: MPH and CBD plasma concentration of the mean data points of all subjects plotted on a logarithmic

Panel D: MPH and CBD plasma

concentration of the mean of all subjects plotted on a linear scale.

Table 3. Bioequivalence analysis to CBD vs. control arm. CV: coefficient of variant, CI: confidential interval. Mean %CV Range Clast/ke 12.80 57.86 4.41 31.31 14.01 (ng/mL*hr) AUC_{last} 53.76 29.83 31.60 80.70 49.55 (ng/mL*hr) ke 0.21 22.03 0.15 0.31 0.24 [1.25]^a / 0.50 3.00 [1.75]^a AUC_{total} 66.65 32.53 38.57 103.32 63.56 (ng/mL*hr) C_{max} 12.15 43.73 6.92 26.50 12.20 (ng/mL) ^a t_{max} was expressed as median and range.

Table 4. Pharmacokinetic analysis of CBD.



CONCLUSIONS

- Co-administration of CBD is not bioequivalent with control.
- 8 of 12 subjects experienced an AUC_{total} increase with the maximum being 1.49-fold higher in the CBD group when compared to placebo.
- **C**_{max} was increased in 8 of the subjects' CBD arms (maximum 2-fold increase) and $t_{1/2}$ was increased in 6 of the subjects CBD arms (maximum 1.48-fold increase).
- In some subjects there existed a potential DDI liability with the coadministration of CBD and MPH.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

• Collected plasma samples are presently under analysis to determine CBD exposure and pharmacokinetics and to explore potential correlations between CBD concentrations and CES1 inhibition

KEY REFERENCES

- Qian Y Gurley BJ, Markowitz JS. The potential for pharmacokinetic interactions between cannabis and conventional medications. J *Clin Psychopharmacol* 2019;39(5):462-471.
- 2. Qian Y, Wang X, Markowitz JS. In vitro inhibition of carboxylesterase 1 by major cannabinoids and selected metabolites. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2019;47(5):465-472.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- This study was made possible by a grant from the State of Florida Consortium for Medical Marijuana Clinical Outcomes Research
- Epidiolex[®] and Epidiolex[®] Placebo were generously donated by Greenwich Biosciences Inc

	Co	ntrol		GMR				
				(Treatment/Control)				
	%CV	R	ange	CI				
		0		(90%)				
	54.22	5.67	26.93					
	25.25	30.70	74.32					
	30.61	0.15	0 39					
	50.01	0.15	0.55					
Э	/	0.50	3.00					
	25.45	42 57	00 57	1.00 0.80 1.22				
	25.45	42.57	98.57	1.09 0.89 1.32				
	36.42	6.60	22.80	1.08 0.85 1.37				



Figure 4. MPH pharmacokinetics charges individual subjects between control and treatment groups Pannal A: total AUC change. Pannal B: C_{max} change. Red points and lines represent the average.



Figure 5. Correlation of CBD C_{max} and MPH AUC and C_{max} ratio in male and female subjects. Panel A: linear regression of CBD C_{max} and MPH AUC, for male: $r^2 = 0.2712$, for female: $r^2 = 0.09153$. **Panel B:** linear regression of CBD C_{max} and MPH AUC, for male: $r^2 = 0.8403$, for female: $r^2 = 0.8403$,