Relationships Between Baseline Measures of Sleep and Intentions to Start Medical Marijuana Among Patients with Chronic Non-Cancer Pain

Liva G. LaMontagne, Catalina Lopez-Quintero, Yancheng Li, Roger B. Fillingim, John B. Williamson, Kimberly T. Sibille, Zhigang Li, Robert L. Cook, Yan Wang

University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida

BACKGROUND

- More than 70% of patients with chronic pain report sleep disturbances.
- Older adults are increasingly seeking medical marijuana (MM) to relieve chronic pain and improve sleep.
- Those who seek MM treatment may have worse pain or sleep, motivating them to start treatment sooner.
- **Objective:** To examine the differences between those with and without intention to start MM in terms of their baseline Fitbitmeasured sleep duration and self-reported sleep quality.

METHODS

Sample and Measures: Baseline data from 96 participants in the prospective cohort *Study on medical Marijuana and Its Long-term Effects* (SMILE) in Florida, 48 planning to start MM treatment, 48 – not; 81 (84%) White, 65 (67%) female, M (SD) age = 64.93 (8.93).

Intake:

- *Intentions to start MM:* Do you plan to start medical marijuana? No/ yes
- *Self-reported sleep quality*: How would you rate your sleep quality during the past 30 days? (1 -very bad; 2 – fairly bad; 3 – fairly good; 4 - very good)
- *Sociodemographic factors: Age, sex, ethnicity/race, income,* relationship status
- *Pain intensity:* GCPS pain intensity subscale, 3 items: How would you rate your pain right now/ in the past 6 mo-s, worst pain / in the past 6 mo-s, average pain on a 0-10 scale where 0 is "no pain" and 10 is "pain as bad as could be
- *Prescription opioid use*: 0 no, 1 yes

After intake participants started wearing Fitbit Charge 4/5s. Participants had not started medical marijuana within the 1st week, so their first-week Fitbit data were analyzed as baseline.

Data Analysis: Separate multivariate regression analyses for selfreported sleep quality and average Fitbit sleep duration as outcomes with the *lavaan* package in R, using maximum likelihood estimation with robust standard errors (MLR). *P*-values were adjusted for false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Descriptives by MM intention group





Note: Box = interquartile range (IQR), black line = median, red dot = mean; ****** p-value < 0.01; based on Mann-Whitney U test.

Multivariate regression results for average nightly **Fitbit measured sleep (minutes)**

	Estimate (SE)	p (FDR-adjusted p)
Intercept	562.479 (141.54)	0.000 (0.006)
MM intentions - yes	-52.01 (18.98)	0.006 (0.014)
Pain intensity	-0.95 (0.66)	0.155 (0.466)
Opioid use	-25.03 (25.2)	0.320 (0.618)
Sociodemographic factors		
Age	-0.27 (1.36)	0.841 (0.957)
Sex - male	-6.22 (23.66)	0.793 (0.997)
Ethnicity/ Race- Non-White*	-25.64 (31.06)	0.409 (0.787)
Income	3.09 (7.09)	0.663 (0.644)
Relationship status - partnered	20.34 (23.97)	0.396 (0.983)

Note: MM Intentions are coded as 0 – no, 1 – yes; opioid use is coded as 0 – no, 1 – yes; sex is coded as 0 – female, 1 – male; race/ ethnicity is coded as 0 – non-Hispanic White, 1 – non-White, *including Hispanic; relationship status is coded as 0 – not partnered, 1 – partnered.

Multivariate regression results for self-reported sleep quality

	Estimate (SE)	p (FDR-adjusted p)
Intercept	0.94 (0.80)	0.240 (0.466)
MM intentions - yes	-0.12 (0.14)	0.404 (0.618)
Pain intensity	-0.02 (0.00)	0.000 (0.000)
Opioid use	0.67 (0.16)	0.000 (0.000)
Sociodemographic factors		
Age	0.02 (0.01)	0.002 (0.009)
Sex - male	-0.18 (0.16)	0.259 (0.466)
Ethnicity/ Race - Non-White*	0.23 (0.19)	0.210 (0.466)
Income	0.02 (0.04)	0.594 (0.764)
Relationship status -partnered	0.22 (0.15)	0.132 (0.396)

Note: MM Intentions are coded as 0 – no, 1 – yes; opioid use is coded as 0 – no, 1 – yes; sex is coded as 0 – female, 1 – male; race is coded as 0 – non-Hispanic White, 1 – non-White, *including Hispanic; relationship status is coded as 0 – not partnered, 1 – partnered.



Self-reported sleep quality 1 -very bad; 2 – fairly bad; 3 – fairly good; 4 - very good







- "fairly bad" sleep.

- pain.

- PI: Wang Y)

UNIVERSITY of

Visit the study website https://smile.phhp.ufl.edu/ Revealed a liva.lamontagne@ufl.edu

RESULTS

• Participants with intentions to start MM slept on average almost an hour less (measured by Fitbit) than participants who did not intend to start MM, after adjusting for pain, opioid use, and sociodemographic factors.

• Participants with and without intentions to start MM **did not differ** in **self-reported sleep quality –** both groups reported

Self-reported sleep quality was negatively associated with pain intensity (B = -0.02, p = 0.000) and positively - with opioid use (B = 0.67, p = 0.000) and age (B = 0.02, p = 0.009, all p-values FDR-adjusted for multiple testing).

CONCLUSIONS

• As hypothesized, our initial findings indicate that **less sleep** on average may be associated with **higher interest in MM** treatment among people with chronic pain.

This finding highlighted the importance of **considering if** groups (MM and Non-MM) match on baseline measures in prospective cohort studies, as those who choose to start MM treatment may be experiencing more severe symptoms.

• A future research direction is to better understand the prospective effects of MM treatment on **self-reported and objective sleep measures** among older adults with chronic

DISCLOSURES

• Authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

• The SMILE study is funded by an R01 grant from the National Institute of Health/National Institute of Aging (AG071729-01A,

• LGL is supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse of the National Institutes of Health under award number T32DA035167 (PI: Cottler).

CLQ is supported by the National Institute of Drug Abuse (NIDA) under award number K01DA046715